

A Refinement of an L_p Inequality for a Polynomial with Restrictions on Zeros

M A Shah¹, Aijaz Ahmad², P P Singh²

¹Department of Mathematics
Government College of Engineering and Technology, Safapora, Ganderbal
e-mail: mushtaqa022@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, J.S. University,
Shikohabad(Firozabad), U.P, India.
e-mail: drppsingh0508@gmail.com, ai.ju.ajaz117@gmail.com

Abstract

If P_n denotes a class of polynomials of degree n , then for $P \in P_n$, the L_p analogue of Bernstein's inequality was proved by Zygmund. In fact, he proved that

$$\|P'\|_p \leq n\|P\|_p, \quad \text{for } p > 0.$$

In literature, so many generalizations and refinements of this result exists. Recently K Krishnadas and B Chanam [10, Theorem 1] proved a generalisation of above result. In this paper we prove a refinement of above result which in turn provides a generalization of several other results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C10, 30A10, 41A17.

Keywords and Phrases: Polynomials, inequalities, complex domain, Zygmund inequality.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Let P_n denotes the class of polynomials $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ of degree n .

For $P \in P_n$ define,

$$\|P\|_p := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 0$$

$$\|P\|_\infty := \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

Further

$$\|P\|_0 := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |P(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\}$$

If $P \in P_n$, Zygmund [18] proved that

$$\|P'\|_p \leq n\|P\|_p, \quad p > 0 \tag{1.1}$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|P'\|_\infty \leq n\|P\|_\infty \tag{1.2}$$

Inequality (1.2) is known as Bernstein's inequality where equality occurs for $P(z) = \alpha z^n, \alpha \neq 0$.

The validity of (1.1) for $0 < p < 1$ was proved by Arestov [1].

If $P \in P_n$ such that $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < 1$, then inequalities (1.2) and (1.1) can be replaced respectively by

$$\|P'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{2}\|P\|_\infty \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\|P'\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_p} \|P\|_p, \quad p > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

While inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdős and later proved by Lax [10], inequality (1.4) was proved by Debruijn [4] for $p \geq 1$. Rahman and Schmeisser[14] showed that (1.4) remain true for $0 < p < 1$.

On the other hand, if $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, Turan[18] proved that

$$\|P'\|_\infty \geq \frac{n}{2}\|P\|_\infty. \tag{1.5}$$

Both inequalities (1.3) and (1.5) attain equality for the polynomial $P(z) = \alpha + \beta z^n$, where $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

Govil and Rahman [7] extended (1.4) into Lp version by proving that, if $P \in P_n$ has no zero in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then

$$\|P'\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|k+z\|_p} \|P\|_p, \quad p \geq 1 \quad (1.6)$$

Gardener and Weems [6] and Rather [14] independently proved that (1.6) also holds for $0 < p < 1$.

Also, Aziz and Rather[2] proved that if $P \in P_n$ has no zero in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P'\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|\delta_{k,1} + z\|_p} \|P\|_p, \quad p \geq 1 \quad (1.7)$$

where $\delta_{k,1} = \frac{n|a_0|k^2 + k^2|a_1|}{n|a_0| + k^2|a_1|}$

Malik[12] proved in the sense that the inequality involves integral mean of $|P(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$ and extends to Lp norm. He proved that if $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then for each $p > 0$.

$$\|P'\|_p \geq \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (1.8)$$

As a generalisation of (1.8), Aziz and Rather [2] proved that if $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P'\|_p \geq \frac{n}{\|1+t_{k,1}z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\|P'\|_\infty \geq \frac{n}{\|1+t_{k,1}z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (1.10)$$

where $t_{k,1} = \frac{n|a_n|k^2 + |a_{n-1}|}{n|a_n| + |a_{n-1}|}$

Several improvements, generalizations and extensions of the estimates of the bounds of $\left\{ \frac{\|P'\|_\infty}{\|P\|_\infty} \right\}$ under prescribed restrictions on zeros of $P(z)$ are

available in literature. It is also interesting to check the dependence of the $\left\{ \frac{\|P'\|_\infty}{\|P\|_\infty} \right\}$ on the coefficients of the polynomial under consideration. In this direction Govil et al. [8] proved the following two results, where they improved (1.6) and (1.8), and the second (1.11) by involving certain coefficients of the polynomial.

Theorem 1.1. If $P \in P_n$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then

$$\|P'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \frac{(1-|\lambda|)(1+k^2|\lambda|) + k(n-1)|\mu - \lambda^2|}{(1-|\lambda|)(1-k+k^2+k|\lambda|) + k(n-1)|\mu - \lambda^2|} \|P\|_\infty, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{ka_1}{na_0} \text{ and } \mu = \frac{2k^2a_2}{n(n-1)a_0}.$$

Theorem 1.2. If $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then

$$\|P'\|_\infty \geq \frac{n}{1+k} \frac{(1-|\omega|)(1+k^2|\omega|) + k(n-1)|\gamma - \omega^2|}{(1-|\omega|)(1-k+k^2+k|\omega|) + k(n-1)|\gamma - \omega^2|} \|P\|_\infty, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{\bar{a}_{n-1}}{nk\bar{a}_n} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2\bar{a}_{n-2}}{n(n-1)k^2\bar{a}_n}.$$

Recently, Krishnadas and Chanam[10] proved the following two results where they extended the inequalities (1.14) and (1.15) to L_p norms. ,

Theorem 1.3. If $P \in P_n$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P'\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|C+z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$C = k \frac{(1-|\lambda|)(|\lambda|+k^2) + k(n-1)|\mu - \lambda^2|}{(1-|\lambda|)(|\lambda|k^2+1) + k(n-1)|\mu - \lambda^2|} \quad (1.14)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{ka_1}{na_0} \text{ and } \mu = \frac{2k^2a_2}{n(n-1)a_0}.$$

Theorem 1.4. If $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P'\|_p \geq \frac{n}{\|1 + \mathcal{D}z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = k \frac{(1 - |\omega|)(|\omega| + k^2) + k(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|}{(1 - |\omega|)(|\omega|k^2 + 1) + k(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|} \quad (1.16)$$

$$\omega = \frac{\bar{a}_{n-1}}{nk\bar{a}_n} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2\bar{a}_{n-2}}{n(n - 1)k^2\bar{a}_n}.$$

2 Main Results

In this paper, first we obtain the following result which includes not only a refinement of Theorem 1.3 but also provides some generalization of other results.

Theorem 2.1. If $P \in P_n$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P' + \beta nmz^{n-1}\|_p \leq \frac{n}{\|C + z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (2.1)$$

where C is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$.

Remark 2.2 If we put $m = 0$ and let $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.1), the Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 1.1 by using the inequality (1.17).

Remark 2.3 For $m = 0$, inequality (2.1) reduces to inequality (1.16). Next, we prove the theorem as a refinement of Theorem 1.4. In fact we prove

Theorem 2.4. If $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for each $p > 0$

$$\|P' + \beta nmz^{n-1}\|_p \geq \frac{n}{\|1 + \mathcal{D}z\|_p} \|P\|_p. \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathcal{D} is defined by (1.19)

Remark 2.5. For $m = 0$ Theorem 2.4 reduces to Theorem 1.4.

Instead of proving Theorem 2.4, we prove a more general result, from which Theorem 2.4, follows as a special case.

Theorem 2.6. If $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for each $\delta > 0, r > 1, s > 1$ with $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$.

$$\|P' + \beta nmz^{n-1}\|_{sp} \geq \frac{n}{\|1 + \mathcal{D}z\|_{rp}} \|P\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathcal{D} is defined by (1.19).

Remark 2.7. Put $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, we obtain Theorem 2.4.

3 Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will help us to prove our results.

Lemma 3.1. If $P \in P_n$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then

$$C|P'(z)| \leq |Q'(z)| \quad (3.1)$$

where C is defined by (1.17) and $Q(z) = z^n P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$

Above Lemma 3.1 is due to Govil et al.[8]. By applying Lemma (3.1.) to the polynomial $F(z) = P(z) + m\beta z^n$, we immediately get the following result.

Lemma 3.2. If $P \in P_n$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, then for any complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$C|P'(z) + \beta nmz^{n-1}| \leq |Q'(z)| \quad (3.2)$$

where C is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$.

Lemma 3.3. If $P \in P_n$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then on $|z| = 1$

$$|Q'(z)| \leq \mathcal{D}|P'(z) + nm\beta z^{n-1}| \quad (3.3)$$

where \mathcal{D} is defined by (1.19).

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Since $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then the polynomial $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$ has no zeros in $|z| < \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Thus applying Lemma 3.2 to the polynomial $Q(z)$, we have

$$|Q'(z)| \leq k \frac{(1 - |\omega|)(\frac{1}{k^2}|\omega| + 1) + \frac{1}{k}(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|}{(1 - |\omega|)(|\omega| + k^2) + \frac{1}{k}(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|} |P'(z) + nm\beta z^{n-1}|$$

$$\omega = \frac{1/k \bar{a}_{n-1}}{n \bar{a}_n} = \frac{\bar{a}_{n-1}}{nk\bar{a}_n} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{2/k^2 \bar{a}_{n-2}}{n(n-1)k^2 \bar{a}_n} = \frac{2\bar{a}_{n-2}}{n(n-1)k^2 \bar{a}_n}.$$

Then,

$$|Q'(z)| \leq k \frac{(1 - |\omega|)(|\omega| + k^2) + k(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|}{(1 - |\omega|)(|\omega|k^2 + 1) + k(n - 1)|\gamma - \omega^2|} |P'(z) + nm\beta z^{n-1}|$$

which proves Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. If $P \in P_n$, then for each $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$ and $p > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} P'(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta d\alpha \leq 2\pi n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \quad (3.4)$$

The above lemma is due to Aziz [2]

Lemma 3.5. If $P \in P_n$, then for each $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi, p > 0$ and for any complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} \{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\}|^p d\theta d\alpha \leq 2\pi n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \quad (3.5)$$

where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. By applying Lemma (3.4.) to the polynomial $F(z) = P(z) + m\beta z^n$, we can easily get the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. Let z be any complex and independent of α , where α is any real, then for $p > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + ze^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha = \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} + |z||^p d\alpha \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.6 is due to Gardner and Govil[5].

4 Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $P(z)$ has no zeros in $|z| < k, k \geq 1$, we have by Lemma 3.2.

$$C|P'(z) + \beta nmz^{n-1}| \leq |Q'(z)| \quad (4.1)$$

where C is defined by (1.17) and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$.

We have for every real α and $\mathcal{R} \geq r \geq 1$,

$$|\mathcal{R} + e^{i\alpha}| \geq |r + e^{i\alpha}|$$

Then, for every $p > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{R} + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \quad (4.2)$$

For points $e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, for which $P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta} \neq 0$, we denote

$\mathcal{R} = \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\theta})}{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}} \right|$ and $r = C$, then by (4.1), we for each $p > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} \{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\}|^p d\alpha \\ &= |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\theta})}{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^p \\ &= |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{Q'(e^{i\theta})}{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}} \right| + e^{i\alpha} \right|^p \quad \text{by (3.6)} \\ &= |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{R} + e^{i\alpha}|^p \\ &\geq |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{i\alpha}|^p \quad \text{by (4.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} \{P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}\}|^p d\alpha \geq |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p \int_0^{2\pi} |C + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \quad (4.3)$$

for points $e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, for which $P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta} \neq 0$.

For points $e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, for which $P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta} = 0$, inequality (4.3) trivially holds. Hence, using (4.3) in Lemma 3.5, we obtain for each $p > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} |C + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \leq 2\pi n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + nm\beta e^{i(n-1)\theta}|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq n \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |C + e^{i\alpha}|^p d\alpha \right\}^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (4.4)$$

from which the desired conclusion of Theorem 2.1 follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Since $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, $P(z)$ also has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$. Hence, by Gauss-Lucas Theorem, the polynomial

$$z^{n-1} \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} = nQ(z) - zQ'(z) \quad (4.5)$$

has all its zeros in $|z| \geq \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Further, since $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k, k \leq 1$, we have by Lemma 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} |Q'(z)| &\leq \mathcal{D}|P'(z) + nm\beta z^{n-1}| \\ &= \mathcal{D}\{|P'(z)| + tnm\} \quad \text{for } |z| = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where \mathcal{D} is defined by (1.19) and $|\beta| = t$.

For $|z| = 1$, we also have

$$|P'(z)| = |nQ(z) - zQ'(z)|. \quad (4.7)$$

Using (4.7) in (4.6) , we have on $|z| = 1$

$$|Q'(z)| \leq \mathcal{D} \{ |nQ(z) - zQ'(z)| + tnm \} \quad (4.8)$$

Thus, in view of (4.5) and (4.8), the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{zQ'(z)}{\mathcal{D} \{ |nQ(z) - zQ'(z)| + tnm \}}$$

is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ on $|z| = 1$ and $\phi(0) = 0$. Therefore, the function $1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(z)$ is subordinate to the function $1 + \mathcal{D}z$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Hence, by a well known property of subordination [9], we have for each $p > 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}e^{i\theta}|^p d\theta \quad (4.9)$$

Now,

$$1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(z) = 1 + \frac{zQ'(z)}{|nQ(z) - zQ'(z)| + tnm} = \frac{nQ(z)}{|nQ(z) - zQ'(z)| + tnm}$$

which implies for $|z| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |nQ(z)| &= |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(z)| |nQ(z) - zQ'(z)| + tnm \\ &= |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(z)| |P'(z)| + tnm \quad \text{by (4.7)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|P(z)| = |Q(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$, we have from the preceding inequality

$$n|P(z)| = |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(z)| |P'(z)| + tnm \quad \text{on } |z| = 1 \quad (4.10)$$

Then for each $p > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we have

$$n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(e^{i\theta})|^p \{ |P'(e^{i\theta})| + tnm \}^p d\theta$$

Applying Holder's inequality to the above inequality, we have for $r > 1, s > 1$ with $r^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ and for each $p > 0$

$$n^p \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(e^{i\theta})|^{rp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |P'(e^{i\theta})| + tnm \}^{sp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

which implies

$$n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}\phi(e^{i\theta})|^{rp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{pr}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |P'(e^{i\theta})| + tnm \}^{sp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{sp}}$$

using (4.9) in the above inequality , we have

$$n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}e^{i\theta}|^{rp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{pr}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \{ |P'(e^{i\theta})| + tnm \}^{sp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{sp}}$$

by choosing argument of β as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 , we get the above inequality as

$$n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \mathcal{D}e^{i\theta}|^{rp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{pr}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta}) + \beta nme^{i(n-1)\theta}|^{sp} d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{sp}}$$

which is the desired conclusion of the theorem.

References

- [1] V. V. Arestov, On inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivative, IZV. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Math., 45 (1981), 3-22.
- [2] . Aziz and N.A. Rather, Some Zygmund type Lq inequalities for polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 289 (2004), 14-29.
- [3] . Bernstein, Lecons sur les proprietes extremales et la meilleure approx- imation desfonctions analytiques dune variable reellev, Gauthier Villars, Paris (1926).
- [4] .G. de Bruijn, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., 50 (1947), 1265-1272; Indag. Math., 9 (1947), 591-598.
- [5] .B. Gardner and N.K. Govil, An Lq inequality for a polynomial and its derivative, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 194 (1995), 720-726.
- [6] . Gardner and A. Weems, A Bernstein-type Lp inequality for a certain class of polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 219 (1998), 472-478.

- [7] .K. Govil and Q.I. Rahman, Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (1969), 501-517.
- [8] .K. Govil, Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, On the derivative of a polynomial, Illinois J. of Math., 23, No 2 (1979), 319-329.
- [9] . Hille, Analytic Function Theory, Vol. II, Ginn. and Company, New York, Toronto (1962).
- [10] Krishnadas and B Chanam, L^γ Inequalities Concerning Polynomials, International Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol. 34, No. 5(2021) 979-994.
- [11] .D. Lax, Proof of a Conjecture of P. Erdos on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1944), 509-513.
- [12] .A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. London Math. Soc., (1969), 57-60.
- [13] .A.Malik, An integral mean estimate for polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 91 (1984), 281-284.
- [14] .I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, L_p inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 53 (1998), 26-32.
- [15] .A. Rather, Extremal Properties and Location of the Zeros of Polynomials, PhD. Thesis, University of Kashmir (1998).
- [16] . Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Tata Mcgraw-Hill Publ. Co. (Reprinted in India) (1977).
- [17] .E. Taylor, Introduction to Functional Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1958).
- [18] . Turan, Uber die ableitung von polynomen, Compositio Math., 7 (1939), 89-95.
- [19] . Zygmund, A remark on conjugate series, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34 (1932), 392-400.