

Some coefficient inequities for μ – uniformly convex functions

M. Elumalai ¹ and T.T.Raman ²

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai-600 119, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: 1988malai@gmail.com.

² Professor, Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai-600 119, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract— In this present paper we define the class $C_p(\xi, \mu)$ and introduce and investigate coefficient estimates. In addition we provide conditions such that the confluent hypergeometric function, belongs to $C_p(\xi, \mu)$.

Key words and phrases: Regular functions, coefficient estimates, μ – uniformly convex function, Hypergeometric function, Pochhammer symbol.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

INTRODUCTIONS

We show the set of all regular functions f in the unit disk $\Theta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ which are

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_{\tau} z^{\tau} \quad (1.1)$$

with A and let S be the subclass of A consisting of regular functions. Suppose that T be the subclass of S which are in the form

$$\varphi(z) = z - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_{\tau} z^{\tau} \quad (1.2)$$

satisfies the conditions $a_{\tau} \geq 0$ ($\tau = 2, 3, K$) with $\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_{\tau} < 1$.

Also suppose that $C^*(\xi)$ be the famous subclass of S which are convex of order ξ .

Indeed $h \in C^*(\alpha)$ is equivalent to $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}\right) > \xi$ in Θ . This subclass has so long

history in geometric function theory (for example see [2,3,6]).

Let $l, m, n \in \mathbb{C}$ (the set of all complex numbers), such that $n \notin 0, -1, -2, K$. It is well known that the answer of the ordinary equation

$$(1-z)z\varphi''(z) + [n - z(l+m+1)]\varphi'(z) - lm\varphi(z) = 0$$

is

$$F(l, m, n; z) = \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l)_{\tau}(m)_{\tau}}{(n)_{\tau}(1)_{\tau}} z^{\tau}$$

and the function $\varphi(z) = zF(l, m, n; z)$, $z \in \Theta$, is called hypergeometric function. We note that $(l)_0 = 1$ for $l \neq 0$ and $(l)_{\tau} = l(l+1)(l+2)\dots(l+\tau-1)$.

The hypergeometric function plays an important role in various fields. We refer to [5,7] and references therein for more details about this function.

Finally, for $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$ and $\tau \geq 0$, we introduce a subclass $C_p(\xi, \mu)$ of convex functions in the following way

$$C_p(\xi, \mu) = \left\{ \varphi \in S : \Re \left(1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right) \geq \mu \left| \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} - 1 \right| + \xi, z \in \Theta \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

This class is very famous and important in regular function theory and relevant subclasses of it have been obtained by many authors such as ([8]). We note that the case $\mu = 0$ reduce to starlike functions of order ξ and the case $\mu = 1$ reduce to uniformly starlike functions of order ξ . We also let

$$TC_p(\xi, \mu) = T \cap C_p(\xi, \mu)$$

and

$$TC^*(\xi) = T \cap C^*(\xi)$$

Lemma 1.1 *Let $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Re(w) > \mu|w-t| + \xi$ is equivalent to $\Re[w(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) - \mu t e^{i\beta}] > \xi$ where w and t are arbitrary complex numbers.*

Lemma 1.2 *Let $\mu \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Re(t) > \mu$ is equivalent to $|t - (1 + \mu)| < |t + (1 - \mu)|$.*

COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

In this section we introduce an inequality that provide a necessary and sufficient Coefficient for functions in the class $TC_p(\xi, \mu)$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in TC_p(\xi, \mu)$ be in the form (1.2). Then we have*

$$\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\tau(1 + \mu) - (\mu + \xi)) \tau a_{\tau} \leq 1 - \xi. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{TC}_p(\xi, \mu)$ be in the form (1.2). By putting $w = 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)}$ in (1.3) and by

lemma 1.1, we obtain $\Re(w(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) - \mu e^{i\beta}) \geq \xi$ or

$$\Re \left(\frac{(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\tau(\tau-1) + \tau) a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right) - (\mu e^{i\beta} + \xi) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right)}{\left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right)} \right) \geq 0$$

If $z \in \Theta$ is real and tends to 1^- through reals, then we have

$$\Re \left(1 - \xi + \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\xi - \tau) \tau a_{\tau} + \mu e^{i\beta} \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (1 - \tau) \tau a_{\tau} \right) \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$1 - \xi - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\tau - \xi) \tau a_{\tau} - \mu e^{i\beta} \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\tau - 1) \tau a_{\tau} \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.2 Let $\mu \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in \text{T}$ be an analytic function of the form (1.2). Then the following condition is sufficient for φ to be in the class $C_p(\xi, \mu)$.

$$\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + \tau - \xi) |a_{\tau}| \leq 1 \tag{2.2}$$

if $-1 \leq \xi < 0$ and

$$\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + \tau - \xi) |a_{\tau}| \leq 1 - \xi \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. By lemma 1.1, we note that the condition (1.3) is equivalent to

$\Re(w(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) - (\xi + \mu e^{i\beta})) \geq 0$ where $w = 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)}$. So by lemma 1.2, it is sufficient to

show that $A \geq B$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left| 1 + w(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) - (\xi + \mu e^{i\beta}) \right| \\ &= \frac{\left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right) + (1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right) + (\mu e^{i\beta} + \xi) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right)}{\left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = \left| 1 - w(1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) + (\xi + \mu e^{i\beta}) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1}\right) - (1 + \mu e^{i\beta}) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1}\right) - (\mu e^{i\beta} + \xi) \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1}\right)}{\left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1}\right)}$$

Let $M = \frac{1}{\left|1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \tau a_{\tau} z^{\tau-1}\right|}$. Therefore

$$A \geq M \left(\left|2 - \xi\right| - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + |1 + \tau - \xi|) \tau |a_{\tau}| \right) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$B \leq M \left(\left|\xi\right| + \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + |\tau - 1 - \xi|) \tau |a_{\tau}| \right). \quad (2.5)$$

So by the hypothesis, if $-1 \leq \xi < 0$, then by (2.4) and (2.5)

$$A - B \geq 2M \left(1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + \tau - \xi) \tau |a_{\tau}| \right).$$

The last expression is non-negative by (2.2) and so φ belongs to the class $C_p(\xi, \mu)$. Also if $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, then by (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$A - B \geq 2M \left(1 - \xi - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\mu(\tau-1) + \tau - \xi) \tau |a_{\tau}| \right).$$

The last expression is non-negative by (2.3) and so $\varphi \in C_p(\xi, \mu)$.

The case $\mu = 0$ in two previous theorems leads to

Corollary 2.3 Let $\varphi(z) = z - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_{\tau} z^{\tau} \in T$ and $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. Then $\varphi \in C^*(\xi)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} (\tau - \xi) \tau a_{\tau} \leq 1 - \xi.$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$ and let $\varphi_1(z) = z$,

$$\varphi_{\tau}(z) = z - \frac{1 - \xi}{(\tau(1 + \mu) - (\mu + \xi))\tau} z^{\tau}, \quad \tau \geq 2.$$

If $\varphi \in TC_p(\xi, \mu)$ then we have $\varphi(z) = \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{\tau} \varphi_{\tau}(z)$ where $\lambda_{\tau} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{\tau} = 1$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in TC_p(\xi, \mu)$ has the form $z - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_{\tau} z^{\tau}$. By Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau(1 + \mu) - (\mu + \xi))\tau}{1 - \xi} a_{\tau} \leq 1$$

and

$$a_\tau \leq \frac{1-\xi}{(\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi))\tau}, \quad \tau \geq 2.$$

Therefore we can set $\lambda_\tau = \frac{(\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi))\tau}{1-\xi} a_\tau$ for $\tau = 2, 3, \dots$ and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\tau$. Thus,

$0 \leq \lambda_\tau \leq 1$ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\tau = 1$. Also $\varphi(z)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= z - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} a_\tau z^\tau = z - \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\tau (1-\xi)}{(\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi))\tau} z^\tau \\ &= \lambda_1 z + \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\tau \left(z - \frac{1-\xi}{(\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi))\tau} z^\tau \right) \\ &= \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\tau \varphi_\tau(z) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5 Let $-1 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu < 1$. Also let $l, m \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and $n > |l| + |m| + 1$. Then the condition

$$\frac{\Gamma(n-|l|-|m|-1)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n-|l|)\Gamma(n-|m|)} ((1+k)|lm| + (1-\xi)(n-|l|-|m|-1)) \leq 2(1-\xi) \quad (2.6)$$

is sufficient for the function $zF(l, m, n; z)$ belongs to $C_p(\xi, \mu)$.

Proof. Set $zF(l, m, n; z)$. By Theorem 2.2, we need to show that

$$N := \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} [\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi)] \left| \frac{(l)_{\tau-1} (m)_{\tau-1}}{(n)_{\tau-1} (1)_{\tau-1}} \right| \leq 1 - \xi.$$

According to $|(l)_\tau| \leq (|a|)_\tau$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} N &\leq \sum_{\tau=2}^{\infty} [\tau(1+\mu) - (\mu+\xi)] \frac{(|l|)_{\tau-1} (|m|)_{\tau-1}}{(n)_{\tau-1} (1)_{\tau-1}} \\ &= (1+\mu) \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1) (|l|)_\tau (|m|)_\tau}{(n)_\tau (1)_\tau} + \xi \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{l (|l|)_\tau (|m|)_\tau}{n (n)_\tau (1)_\tau} \\ &= (1+\mu) \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|l|)_\tau (|m|)_\tau}{(n)_\tau (1)_{\tau+1}} + (1-\xi) \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{l (|l|)_\tau (|m|)_\tau}{n (n)_\tau (1)_\tau} \\ &= \frac{|lm|}{n} (1+\mu) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+|\tau|) (1+|m|)_\tau}{(1+n)_\tau (1)_\tau} + (1-\xi) \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{l (|l|)_\tau (|m|)_\tau}{(n)_\tau (1)_\tau} \\ &= \frac{|lm|}{n} (1+\mu) F(1+|l|, 1+|m|, 1+n; 1) + (1-\xi) (F(|l|, |m|, n; 1) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{|lm|}{n} (1+\mu) \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n-|l-|n-1)}{\Gamma(n-|l|)\Gamma(n-|m|)} + (1-\xi) \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n-|l-|n-1)}{\Gamma(n-|l|)\Gamma(n-|m|)} - (1-\xi).$$

Therefore according to (2.6), N is less than $1-\xi$.

REFERENCES

1. N. E. Cho et al., The bounds of some determinants for starlike functions of order alpha, Bull. Malaysian. Math. Sci. Soc. Vol. 41, No. 1 (2018) 523–535.
2. P. L. Duren, Univalent functions (grundlehren der mathematischen wissenschaften 259, new york, berlin, heidelberg, tokyo), Springer-Verlag. Vol. 1, (1983) 983.
3. M. Elumalai, Sufficient conditions for convex functions of order γ , Int. J. App. Math., Vol. 31, No. 4, 2018, 587-595
4. A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 8, No. 3 (1957) 598–601.
5. Y. C. Kim and S. Ponnusamy, Sufficiency for gaussian hypergeometric functions to be uniformly convex, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 22, No. 4 (1999) 765–773.
6. N. Magesh, M. K. Balaji, and R. Sattanathan, Subordination properties for certain subclasses of prestarlike functions, punjab Univ. J. Math. Vol. 44, (2012) 39–50.
7. S. Ponnusamy, Close-to-convexity properties of gaussian hypergeometric functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math. Vol.88, No. 2 (1998) 327–337.
8. F. Rønning, On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A. Vol. 45, No. 14 (1991) 117–122.
9. S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 81, No. 4 (1981) 521–527.
10. H. Silverman, Neighborhoods of classes of analytic functions, Far East. J. Math. Sci. Vol. 3, No. 2 (1995) 165–169.